

A patent issuing on an application with respect to which a requirement for restriction under this section has been made, or on an application filed as a result of such a requirement, shall not be used as a reference either in the Patent and Trademark Office or in the courts against a divisional application or against the original application or any patent issued on either of them, if the divisional application is filed before the issuance of the patent on the other application.

*See also* MPEP §804.01. Accordingly, applicants respectfully request withdrawal of the rejection and allowance of all claims.

It is believed that all of the pending claims have been addressed. However, the absence of a reply to a specific rejection, issue or comment does not signify agreement with or concession of that rejection, issue or comment. In addition, because the arguments made above may not be exhaustive, there may be reasons for patentability of any or all pending claims (or other claims) that have not been expressed. Finally, nothing in this paper should be construed as an intent to concede any issue with regard to any claim, except as specifically stated in this paper.

Applicant : Toshimitsu Taniguchi et al.  
Serial No. : 10/806,610  
Filed : March 23, 2004  
Page : 3 of 3

Attorney's Docket No.: 10417-039002 / F51-  
125462M/SW

No fee is believed due, however, please apply any other charges or credits to deposit account 06-1050.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 8/8/06

Samuel Borodach  
Samuel Borodach  
Reg. No. 38,388

Fish & Richardson P.C.  
Citigroup Center  
52nd Floor  
153 East 53rd Street  
New York, New York 10022-4611  
Telephone: (212) 765-5070  
Facsimile: (212) 258-2291

30296091.doc